ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವಿ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರನ್.—ಬಡವರ ಮನೆಗಳು ನೀರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಳುಗಿ ಬಿದ್ದು ಹೋಗಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಸಿ. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯ.—ಬಡವರ ಮನೆಗಳು ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿ ಯಾರು ತೊಂದರೆಗೆ ಗುರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಂದಾಜು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಐ.ಪಿ.ಡಿ. ಸಾಲಪ್ಪ.—ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಬಂದಂತ ಮಳೆಯಿಂದ ಒಂದೂವರೆ ಸಾವಿರ ಕೋಳಿಗಳು ಸತ್ತು ಹೋಗಿವೆ. ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಕೂಡ ಸತ್ತು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಏನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ? ಇದರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೀತಿ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಸಿ. ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸಾಲಪ್ಪನವರು ಕೋಳಿ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ, ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ ಅಡ್ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರನ್ನು ಮಾರನೆ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ, ಅದು ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಲ್ಲ. ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ರತಕ್ಕಂಥಾದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿಂದ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

V. PRESENTATION OF REPORTS.

SRI S. MUNIRAJU (Chairman).— I present the First Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation.

SRI M. VEERAPPA MOILY (Chairman).— I present the twelvfth report of the Committee on public Undertakings regarding Karnataka State Financial Corporation, Bangalore.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.— The reports are presented.

VI. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS.

(A) SRI N. B. SIRDESAI.— Madam Deputy Speaker, I present the following petitions.

(1) Petition signed by Sri Narayana Rao Salappa Patil and others belonging to Kumbara Caste people re-issued of Backward Class Certificates to the Kumbar Caste students and to extend all Government sponsored facilities.

(2) Petition signed by Forest Contractors, Belgaum, Dharwar regarding hardship caused to the contractors due to ban order on the sale and transport of forest material to Maharastra.

(3) Petition signed by Sri K. D. Phadnis, Retired Principal re encashment of 120 days of Earned Leave before retired.

(4) Petition signed by Teachers of Khanapur Taluk Primary School regarding recognising the services of Primary School Teachers working in Private Schools and awarding selection grade scale to the Teachers.

(5) Petition signed by Retired Primary School Teachers regarding payment of D.C.R.G. dues to the retired Primary School Teachers of Marathi School in Khanapur Taluk and. early payment of pension.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER. – The petitions stand referred to the Committee on petitions.

SRI A. LAKSHMI SAGAR.— My subject under rule 312 cannot be taken up today because of the absence of the hon. Minister. May I request that the matter may be listed for tomorrow ?

Another request we have got both morning and evening sessions tomorrow and the dav after. Therefore it is advisable that we should adjourn now.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS
FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE BANGALORE CITY CIVIL COURT BILL–1979.

MADAM DEPUTY SPEAKER.— Yesterday there was a discussion on the Civil Court Bill.

SRI A. LAKSHMI SAGAR.–- I have a short submission to make. The Hon. Ministers Sri Kushnoor and Sri Havanur told me that with regard to the abolition of vacation to the civil courts, a writ petition challenging the validity of the provision in the Bill is pending. They were telling me that immediate deletion of the provision would make the writ petition infructous. In support of the contention I would like to bring to the notice of the House the decision rendered by the Supreme Court, in Nagpur Corporation Vs, J. S. Philip-Sarkar-P, 900. The Nagpur Municipality wanted to incure expenditure on a delegation which attended the Congress Special Session. Some of the people objected to it. The Writ petition failed. The Nagpur High Court allow d the petition. When the case war brought before the Supreme Court it was s d that

> "the question raised in the appeal had become academic since Congress session was long over. It may be stated that the Congress session was held from April, 27 to May 1959 and the Writ was issued by the High Court on April 23, 1959. It is suggested that it is not, therefore, a fit case for decision in an appeal under Act. 136 of the Constitution. We are not at all impressed by this contention, It seems to us that it is a matter of the utmost importance for the appellant Corporation to know its rights under its incorporating statute. It will have to guide itself according to our decision in future when a similar point